1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCSBN 9990) United States Attorney
2	·
3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
4	JEFFREY B. SCHENK (CASBN 234355) Assistant United States Attorney
5	·
6	150 Almaden Boulevard San Jose, California 95113
7	Telephone: (408) 535-2695 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 Email: jeffrey.b.schenk@usdoj.gov
8	
9	Attorneys for the United States of America
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 07-00562-RMW
15	Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
16	v.) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM SEPTEMBER 10, 2007 TO OCTOBER 15,
17	ALEJANDRO HORTA-GUZMAN,) 2007 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A))
18	Defendant.) $3101(h)(8)(A)$
19	
20	On September 10, 2007 the parties appeared for a hearing before this Court. At that
21	hearing, the government and defense requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act
22	based upon the defense counsel's need to investigate, review discovery materials submitted by
23	the government and the need to jointly negotiate a resolution in this matter. At that time, the
24	Court set the matter for a hearing on October 15, 2007.
25	The parties stipulate that the time between September 10, 2007 and October 15, 2007 is
26	excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant the
27	requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for
28	effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree

that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). DATED: September 10, 2007 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS **United States Attorney** JEFFREY B. SCHENK Assistant United States Attorney LARA VINNARD Attorney for Defendant

Document 6

Filed 09/10/2007

Page 2 of 3

Case 5:07-cr-00562-RMW

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between September 10, 2007 and October 15, 2007 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

> RICHARD M. WHYTE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE